

Section V - State Vocational Rehabilitation

State Vocational Rehabilitation

Date:

Your agency name and state:

Agency Name:: _____

State:

- Alabama
- Alaska
- American Samoa
- Arizona
- Arkansas
- California
- Colorado
- Connecticut
- Delaware
- District of Columbia
- Federated States of Micronesia
- Florida
- Georgia
- Guam
- Hawaii
- Idaho

- Illinois
- Indiana
- Iowa
- Kansas
- Kentucky
- Louisiana
- Maine
- Marshall Islands
- Maryland
- Massachusetts
- Michigan
- Minnesota
- Mississippi
- Missouri
- Montana
- Nebraska
- Nevada
- New Hampshire
- New Jersey
- New Mexico
- New York
- North Carolina
- North Dakota
- Northern Mariana Islands
- Ohio
- Oklahoma
- Oregon
- Palau
- Pennsylvania
- Puerto Rico
- Rhode Island

- South Carolina
- South Dakota
- Tennessee
- Texas
- Utah
- Vermont
- Virgin Islands
- Virginia
- Washington
- West Virginia
- Wisconsin
- Wyoming

1. Does the current state VR data system allow for tracking of any of the following:

1.a. VR applicants employed in a facility-based work center at the time of application*

- Yes
- No

1.a.1. What percentage of all VR applicants were employed in a facility-based work center at the time of application during the most recently completed state fiscal year?

Note: You will be asked Question 2 on the next page.

1.b. VR applicants enrolled in a facility-based day program/service at the time of application*

Yes

No

1.b.1. What percentage of all VR applicants were enrolled in a facility-based day program/service at the time of application during the most recently completed state fiscal year?

Note: You will be asked Question 3 on the next page.

1.c. VR consumers who are working in facility-based work centers at the time of case closure where such closure occurs after the development of an Individual Plan for Employment*

Yes

No

1.c.1. In the most recently completed state fiscal year, what percentage of case closures after IPE involved VR individuals who were working in a facility-base work center at the time of case closure?

1.c.2. Were VR consumers working in facility-based work centers provided alternate assessments such as discovery:

Yes

No

Note: You will be asked Question 4 on the next page.

1.d. VR consumers who are working in facility-based work centers at the time of case closure where such closure occurs before the development of an Individual Plan for Employment*

Yes

No

1.d.1. In the most recently completed state fiscal year, what percentage of case closures before IPE involved VR individuals who were working in a facility-based work center at the time of case closure?

Note: You will be asked Question 5 on the next page.

1.e. VR consumers who are enrolled in a facility-based day program/service at the time of case closure where such closure occurs after the development of an Individual Plan for Employment*

Yes

No

1.e.1. In the most recently completed state fiscal year, what percentage of case closures after IPE involved VR consumers who were enrolled in a facility-based day program/service at the time of case closure?

1.e.2. Were VR consumers working in facility-based work centers provided alternate assessments such as discovery:

Yes

No

Note: You will be asked Question 6 on the next page.

1.f. VR consumers who are enrolled in a facility-based day program/service at the time of case closure where such closure occurs before the development of an Individual Plan for Employment*

Yes

No

1.f.1. In the most recently completed state fiscal year, what percentage of case closures before IPE involved VR consumers who were enrolled in a facility-based day program/service at the time of case closure?

Note: You will be asked Question 7 on the next page.

2. Does working in a facility-based work center at the time of application cause the VR applicant to be treated any differently by the counselor assigned?

Yes

No

2.a. How is the applicant treated differently by the counselor assigned?

2.b. Is this different treatment required through written policy

Yes

No

3. Does participating in a facility-based day program/service at the time of application cause the VR applicant to be treated any differently by the counselor assigned?

Yes

No

3.a. How is the VR applicant treated differently by the counselor assigned?

3.b. Is this different treatment required through written policy?

Yes

No

4. If a VR consumer is working in a facility-based work center at the time of VR case closure (where case closure occurs after an IPE has been written), is there any secondary review of the closure by a VR supervisor or other designated VR personnel to determine:

4.a. If every reasonable effort to place the person in competitive, integrated employment (including supported, customized or self-employment) has been tried, including use of multiple employment service providers/vendors?

Yes

No

- or -

4.b. If the VR consumer has obtained competitive, integrated employment on a part-time basis and is choosing to continue to work at the facility-based work center as well, that every reasonable effort to obtain sufficient hours of competitive, integrated employment, in order to fully eliminate the need for continued work in a facility-based work center, has been tried?

Yes

No

5. If a VR consumer is working in a facility-based work center at the time of VR case closure (where case closure occurs before an IPE has been written), is there any secondary review of the closure by a VR supervisor or other designated VR personnel to determine:

5.a. If every reasonable effort to move forward with the development of an IPE has been made?

Yes

No

- and -

5.b. If inability to benefit from services is one reason for closure, that Discovery (in lieu of a traditional vocational evaluation/assessment or trial work experiences) has been used to determine ability to benefit?

Yes

No

6. If a VR consumer is enrolled in a facility-based day program/service at the time of VR case closure (where case closure occurs after an IPE has been written), is there any secondary review of the closure by a VR supervisor or other designated VR personnel to determine:

6.a. If every reasonable effort to place the person in competitive, integrated employment (including supported, customized or self-employment) has been tried, including use of multiple employment service providers/vendors?

Yes

No

- or -

6.b. If the VR consumer has obtained competitive, integrated employment on a part-time basis and is choosing to continue to attend the facility-based day program/service as well, that every reasonable effort to obtain sufficient hours of competitive, integrated employment, in order to fully eliminate the need for continued participation in a facility-based day program/service, has been tried?

Yes

No

7. If a VR consumer is enrolled in a facility-based day program/service at the time of VR case closure (where case closure occurs before an IPE has been written), is there any secondary review of the closure by a VR supervisor or other designated VR personnel to determine:

7.a. If every reasonable effort to move forward with the development of an IPE has been made?

Yes

No

- and -

7.b. If inability to benefit from services in one reason for closure, that Discovery (in lieu of a traditional vocational evaluation/assessment or trial work experiences) has been used to determine ability to benefit?

Yes

No

8. How many VR applicants/VR individuals have been closed as “unable to benefit from VR services” in the last five years?

8a. For this group, if known, please report the following:

Number who were working in facility-based work center at time of application:

Number who were enrolled in facility-based day program/services at time of application:

Number who were working in facility-based work center at time of VR case closure:

Number who were enrolled in facility-based day program/services at time of VR case closure:

Number referred to, or provided information about, a facility-based work center by a VR Counselor/Staff at time of case closure:

Number referred to, or provided information about, a facility-based day program by a VR Counselor/Staff at time of case closure.:

9. How many VR consumers have been closed into sheltered employment since 2000

9a. Does the VR agency do any follow-up contacts with these VR consumers to offer the opportunity to receive VR services to transition into integrated employment at competitive wages?

Yes

No

9a.1. How often is this follow-up done with each VR consumer?

9a.2. How is the follow-up done and by whom?

9a.3. What percentage of VR consumers closed into sheltered employment since 2000 have taken the opportunity to receive VR services to transition into integrated employment at competitive wages?

9a.3.1 Of this group, what percentage has been successfully closed into integrated employment at competitive wage?

10. Does the state VR agency permit authorization of services which are delivered in a facility-based work center setting (e.g. vocational evaluation, work adjustment/hardening, etc.)?

Yes

No

10a. How many VR consumers received VR funded services in a facility-based work center setting during the past three years?

10b. What was the total financial investment in these services over the last three years?

11. In the past five years, has the VR agency identified VR consumers working in facility-based work centers as an underserved group?

Yes

No

11a. What plan of action has been implemented to address this underserved group?

11b. What has been the result of the effort?

12. Does the VR agency do any routine, targeted outreach to VR consumers working in facility-based work centers to explain the services available through VR?

Yes

No

12a. What is the frequency and nature of this outreach?

12b. What has been the result in terms of VR consumers choosing to apply to VR for services and these VR individuals ultimately being closed successfully by VR?

12c. And of those who chose to apply, what has been the result of these VR consumers ultimately being closed successfully in competitive integrated employment by VR?

13. If an eligible individual (or his/her legal guardian, if one is appointed) is actively considering receiving services in a facility-based work center, is the VR agency called in to provide information or assistance to ensure informed choice by the individual (or his/her legal guardian, if one is appointed)?

Yes

No

13a. Describe the type of information or assistance provided to ensure informed choice. Please describe the impact this has had on the choices of VR consumers who receive this information or assistance.
